IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

LEVERGOOD et al.

Appl. No.: 09/548,235

Filed: April 12, 2000

For: Web Advertising Method

Confirmation No.: 6069

Art Unit: 2452

Examiner: Winder, Patrice L.

Atty. Docket: 3057.0020002

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Listed on accompanying IDS Forms PTO/SB/08a equivalent and PTO/SB/08b equivalent are documents that may be considered material to the patentability of this application as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56, and in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98.

Where the publication date of a listed document does not provide a month of publication, the year of publication of the listed document is sufficiently earlier than the effective U.S. filing date and any foreign priority date so that the month of publication is not in issue. Applicants have listed publication dates on the attached IDS Forms based on information presently available to the undersigned. However, the listed publication dates should not be construed as an admission that the information was actually published on the date indicated.

Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may

not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, or that information more material to the examination of the present patent application does not exist. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith.

Applicants have checked the appropriate boxes below.

- Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.704(d). Each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and this communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of this information disclosure statement.
- 3. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(c). This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date

of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection, or Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

- a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
- b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
- c. The required fee is provided through online credit card payment authorization in the amount of \$***IDS payment amount NU*** in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).
- 4. Filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(d) This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but on or before payment of the

Issue Fee. The required fee is provided through online credit card payment
authorization in the amount of \$ in payment of the fee under 37
C.F.R. § 1.17(p); in addition:
a. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1). I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
b. Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2). I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
5. The document(s) was/were cited in a search report by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application. Submission of an English language version of the search report that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is provided in satisfaction of the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance. 1138 OG 37, 38 and MPEP 609.04(a)(III).

<u> </u>	A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s)
	appears below in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3).
⊠ 7.	Copies of documents NPL1-NPL12 are submitted. However, in accordance with
	37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(2), no copies of U.S. patents and patent application
	publications cited as documents USI-US7 on the attached IDS Forms are
	submitted.
8.	Copies of the documents were cited by or submitted to
	the Office in an IDS that complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)-(c) in Application
	No, filed, which is relied upon for an earlier filing
	date under 35 U.S.C. § 120. Thus, copies of these documents are not attached.
	37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).
∑ 9.	It is expected that the examiner will review the prosecution and cited art in the
	parent application nos. 09/005,479, filed January 12, 1998 (now U.S. Patent No.
	7,272,639, issued September 18, 2007) and 08/474,096, filed June 7, 1995 (now
	U.S. Patent No. 5,708,780, issued January 13, 1998) in accordance with MPEP
	2001.06(b), and indicate in the next communication from the office that the art
	cited in the earlier prosecution history has been reviewed in connection with the
	present application.
<u> </u>	In accordance with the Federal Circuit decision in Dayco Prods., Inc. v. Total
	Containment, Inc. 329 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2003), Applicants submit herewith
	Office Actions from the co-pending U.S. Patent Application No,
	filed, as documents to,

The identification of these Office Actions is not to be construed as a waiver of secrecy as to those applications now or upon issuance of the present application as a patent. The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the cited applications and the art cited therein during examination.

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed IDS Forms, and indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.

Salvador M. Bezos

Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 60,889

Date: 17 JUNG 2011

1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3934 (202) 371-2600

1361530_1.DOC